[Shri Ram Niwas Mirdha]

the Chairman may direct, two members from among the members of the House to be members of the Central Silk Board in the vacancies caused by the retirement of Shri Ghulam Rasool Matto and Shri H. Hanumanthappa from the membership of the Rajya Sabha on the 2nd April, 1988".

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

MOTION FOR ELECTION TO THE GENERAL COUNCIL OF THE INDIAN SCHOOL OF MINES, DHANBAD

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Madam, on behalf of **Shri L. P. Shahi,** I move the following motion:—

"That in pursuance of the provisions contained in clauses (ii) to (iv) of Rule 4 read with clause (vii) of Rule 15 of the Rules and Regulations of the Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad, this House do proceed to elect, in such manner as the Chairman may direct, one member from among the members of the House to be a member of the General Council of the Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad, in the vacancy caused by the retirement of Shri Rafique Alam from the membership of Rarya Sabha on 2nd April, 1988."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

THE DELHI UNIVERSITY (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1988

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Madam, on behalf of **Shri L. P. Shahi,** I move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Delhi University Act, 1972.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

SHRI M. M. JACOB: I introduce the Bill.

THE FINANCE BILL, 1986—*Contd.*

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Special mentions would be after the Minister has replied. Shri Matto to continue.

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO (Jammu and Kashmir): Madam Deputy Chairman, yesterday I was discussing the efficacy of the discussion on the Finance Bill in the Rajya Sabha. In the din of the tragic happenings in Panipat which Shri Chidambaram mentioned, I could not continue the chain of thought. But I would like to draw the kind attention of the hon. Finance Minister to the few points that I want to raise in this connection.

My first point was that there is no doubt, under the Constitution, all the Money Bills are to be passed by Lok Sabha. The fact remains that the Finance Minister presents the Budget in Lok Sabha; copy of it is laid in Rajya Sabha. Then there is discussion for 3-4 days in Lok Sabha and 3-4 days in Rajya Sabha. The hon. Minister gets the feedback from various hon. Members and also takes into account suggestions and viewpoints put forth in both Houses. After about two months, the Finance Minister places the Finance Bill in Lok Sabha and after he places it there and hears the suggestions of the hon. Members of Lok Sabha he comes up with certain concessions, modifications and alterations. Then, the Finance Bill is passed by the Lok Sabha. After it is passed by the Lok Sabha, when it comes to the Rajya Sabha, it is *fait accompli.* As such, the speeches that are made here after the Finance Bill has been passed by the Lok Sabha are just a formality, according to me. During my six-year term, Madam Deputy Chairman, not once had any point raised in regard to the Finance Bill in the Rajya Sabha been conceded or considered by the Finance Minister. This is because the Finance Minister also considers this as a formality.